SUCCESSES AND CHALLENGES IN THE INTERNET ARCHITECTURE

MedComNet 2021

JARI ARKKO * Senior Expert for Ericsson Research Member of the IAB at the IETF



*) A lot of credit for the work going to my colleagues at IAB and Ericsson (Kühlewind, Huitema, Camarillo, Mansfield, Sarker, etc.) but opinions expressed here should be interpreted as mine only

Agenda



Internet Is 50 Years Old, But Is It Agile?

COVID-19 impact on networking

- › Large traffic scale changes
 - Time
 - Location
 - Applications
- Networking impact on humans
 - Hours
 - Types of use
 - New groups of users
- > Perceptions

83 percent claim that ICT helped them a lot, in one way or another, to cope with the lockdown.

Source: Ericsson Mobility Report June 2020





Graph: Ericsson Mobility Report June 2020

User growth (percentage growth in number of users within app category)

Net change in app usage (the difference between the increase and decrease in app usage)

Internet Is 50 Years Old, But Is It Agile?

What happened during the pandemic?

- > Capacity was expanded, upgrades moved forward, etc.
- > Lots of people/orgs were highly motivated to ensure good experience
- > Cloud and CDN deployment models helped re-shape traffic to entirely different applications

How did the Internet do?

- > There are some results from a recent IAB workshop
 - "Internet did well" -- reasonably good situation, even during the pandemic
 - See https://www.iab.org/activities/workshops/covid-19-network-impacts-workshop-2020/
- > The Internet is well suited for adapting to new situations, but there are also issues:
 - Limited visibility, control, and collaboration
 - Digital divide amplification
 - All the other improvements we need anyway



Case Encryption

Turning security on for almost all connections

- From 20% to 80% in five years
- Incentives, world events, and technology came together
- Role of web protocol evolution (e.g., HTTP/2)
- Role of Letsencrypt
- Role of business incentives



Work continues

- Transport protocol headers
- Control protocols (DNS, TLS setup phase)



Case QUIC

New transport protocol ("Quick UDP Internet Connections")

- Standard developed by the IETF Nov 16 May 21 (RFC 9000)
- Widely deployed in the Internet, 20+ implementations
- Optimized for HTTP and latency, multiplexing, address migration
- Designed to avoid ossification and enable future evolution
- But also impacts manageability and debugging



-3-

Side-effect: from now on, evolution will be faster

- Implementations are in user space, part of applications
- Middlebox interpretation of protocols no longer slows deployment

Key Ingredients of Internet's Success

General or optimized?

- Not particularly optimized for any application or technology generation
- Doesn't have all features
- But is available and (relatively) simple
- Can be used for new applications with asking for permission from anyone "<u>Permissionless innovation</u>"
- Has managed to scale from 1.2 kbit to 1 gbit/s and to 4.7B users



"Internet doesn't support audio/video/VR/hologram/..."

- There is <u>always</u> a future application that cannot be used today
- Tradeoff: optimizing network or app, or waiting speeds to go up

Key Ingredients of Internet's Success

Approach to modularization

- Independent evolution for different parts
 - Endpoint software can evolve without network impacts
 - Counterexample: transport protocols
- Deployment model can evolve without impacting protocols
- Protocols and components reuse
- Use it or lose it



Important initially

- Very positive net value
- Incremental deployability
- Availability of code, specs

Less important initially

- Technical design
- Maintenance

Important for wild success

- Extensibility
- No hard scalability limits
- Good enough security



Warning signs

- No involvement from those who have to change
- No benefits for early-adopters
- Misunderstanding or ignoring business aspects

Trends

Technology and Protocols	Applications and Users	Infrastructure
Increased encryption	Application and device diversification	Growing clouds and CDNs
Transport/web evolution	Independent applications	Worldwide distribution
Increased speed of evolution	Greater use of user's data	Consolidation and Centralization
	More powerful end-to-end applications and content providers	

Different Perspectives in the Ecosystem Who shapes the future? And to which direction?

- Tech companies, businesses, and governments
- Different levels of understanding today's networking
- Different interests, market positions, perceptions of needs or what solutions are acceptable
- Different opinions about how to develop things





Security, security, and security!

- Tremendous success in communications security but that is only a part of the solution
- What about susceptibility to DDoS attacks? (Dyn 2016)
- Resilience against failures? (Fastly 2021)
- Commercial and other surveillance? (Too many cases to include)
- We need to go beyond communications security
- Example: good that DNS queries are becoming encrypted, but not good that they are increasingly answered by the same few services



Losing collaboration

- Applications are becoming largely independent and proprietary systems not interoperable global, multi-party systems
- Even existing applications such as email are degrading towards fewer number of entities that can successfully run them
- Application network collaboration is becoming extinct due to encryption
 - Clearly, we needed encryption
 - But can we accommodate useful functions (optimizations, debugging, giving guidance to the network)?



Centralization and Consolidation

- Many applications have a centralized deployment model
- Many Internet services are becoming "winner takes it all" model
- Risks for resilience
- Users may not have a real choice or say in conditions of the service offers

Vision for a Better Internet

Challenges





Possible directions

Broad approach to security

- Protecting data at rest and in use as well as in transit
- Work on resilience, reliability, fault tolerance, and DoS defences
- Security assurance practices

Collaborative Internet

- App and network awareness of each others' needs and current situation
- Explicit, engineered collaboration
- Globally interoperable applications

Distributed services for infrastructure functions

- Awareness, measurements
- Important to ensure federation, discovery, etc. are options in standards





Conclusion

- The Internet is alive and kicking!
- Speed of changes is increasing
- Changes that have clear demand can happen rapidly
- Looking forward to the next episodes in the evolution saga
- Don't always believe what it is said on the Internet, even about the Internet

